***Grade 10 / 11 Arts and Society Critique rubric***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | - 1+ | - 2+ | - 3+ | - 4+ | - 5+ |
| **Organization** | No intro or conclusion is provided. Paragraphs are absent in the body of the text.Thoughts are unorganized / unclear throughout.  | Intro and or conclusion are missing or underdeveloped. Paragraphs are limited or absent in the body of the text.At times thoughts are unorganized.  | Includes a brief intro & conclusion. Paragraphs are used in body of the text.Thoughts are generally organized.  | Includes a fairly well-structured intro & conclusion. Paragraphs are used in body of the text.Thoughts are well organized.  | Includes well- structured intro & conclusion. Paragraphs are used in body of the text.Thoughts are consistently well organized throughout. |
| **Criterion A, Strand i :**  Knowledge and understanding of the art form studied, including concepts, processes, and use of subject-specific terminology | **Description** | The work is not described | The work is described vaguely. Description is lacking. | The work is described with some detail. The reader understands the overall content. | The work is described with a good amount of detail. The reader can understand the content. | The work is described with excellent detail. The reader can clearly understand the content. |
| **Analysis** | The work is not analyzed in terms of use of elements and principles | Elements and principles are listed without elaboration or explanation | Elements and principles are discussed with some supporting examples given | Elements and principles are discussed well, with supporting examples given for most | Elements and principles are well discussed with thorough examples given consistently throughout. |
| **Use of** **Art-SpecificLanguage** | There is no clear attempt to use art specific language. | There is an attempt at using art specific language. (short forms, slang etc)  | Art specific language is used properly at times in the critique. | Art specific language is used properly, and fairly often in the critique | Art specific language is used properly, and frequently throughout. |
| **Criterion A, Strand iii :**understanding of the role of the art form in original or displaced contexts | **Interpretation:Explores the Socio-political / Cultural Relevance** | Student does not address the narrative content, nor any of the socio-political / cultural relevance of the work. | Student shows limited contextual understanding of the role of the art work.Student briefly mentions the narrative content or socio-political/ cultural relevance of the work but without providing examples.  | Student shows adequate contextual understanding of the role of the art work.Student addresses the narrative content or socio-political/ cultural relevance of the work and mentions a few examples. | Student shows substantial contextual understanding of the role of the art work.Student discusses narrative content and or socio-political / cultural relevance of the work and supports discussion with some examples. | Student shows excellent contextual understanding of the role of the art work.Student explores the narrative content and or socio-political / cultural relevance of the work and supports discussion with well explained examples. |
| **Justification of Opinion** | Student states a basic opinion but does not refer to examples from the work. | Student states a basic opinion but refers to very few examples from the work and/or provides conflicting information or examples | Student states an opinion. Some examples from the work are discussed. | Student states an opinion and backs it with examples from the work.The language and tone of the critique generally reflect the opinion given. | Student’s opinion is clearly stated and well supported with clear examples from the work. The language & tone of the critique reflect the opinion given throughout.  |
| **Comments:** |  **Ai: Aiii:** |